

**Assessment of the**

###### Australian fishing in exploratory fisheries in Ross Sea Fishery, CCAMLR SUBAREAs 88.1 and 88.2

November 2020

© Copyright Commonwealth of Australia, 2020.



*Assessment of the Australian Fishing in Exploratory Fisheries in CCAMLR Subareas 88.1 and 88.2 November 2020* is licensed by the Commonwealth of Australia for use under a Creative Commons By Attribution 3.0 Australia licence with the exception of the Coat of Arms of the Commonwealth of Australia, the logo of the agency responsible for publishing the report, content supplied by third parties, and any images depicting people. For licence conditions see: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/.

This report should be attributed as ‘*Assessment of the Australian Fishing in Exploratory Fisheries in CCAMLR Subareas 88.1 and 88.2 November 2020,* Commonwealth of Australia 2020’.

**Disclaimer**

This document is an assessment carried out by the Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment of a commercial fishery against the Australian Government *Guidelines for the Ecologically Sustainable Management of Fisheries – 2nd Edition*. It forms part of the advice provided to the Minister for the Environment on the fishery in relation to decisions under Parts 13 and 13A of the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*. The views expressed do not necessarily reflect those of the Minister for the Environment or the Australian Government.

While reasonable efforts have been made to ensure that the contents of this report are factually correct, the Australian Government does not accept responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of the contents, and shall not be liable for any loss or damage that may be occasioned directly or indirectly through the use of, or reliance on, the contents of this report. You should not rely solely on the information presented in the report when making a commercial or other decision.

CONTENTS

[Assessment summary 2](#_Toc57195928)

[Section 1: Assessment Summary 6](#_Toc57195929)

[Section 2: Summary of Issues Requiring Conditions and RECOMMENDATIONS 8](#_Toc57195930)

[Assessment history: 11](#_Toc57195931)

[Fishery reporting: 11](#_Toc57195932)

[Key links: 11](#_Toc57195933)

[Section 3: Detailed Analysis Against the Guidelines 12](#_Toc57195934)

[Section 4: Assessment Against the EPBC Act 32](#_Toc57195935)

[Part 10 – Strategic assessments 32](#_Toc57195936)

[Part 12 – Identifying and monitoring biodiversity and making bioregional plans 33](#_Toc57195937)

[Part 13 – Species and communities 33](#_Toc57195938)

[Part 13A – International movement of wildlife specimens 36](#_Toc57195939)

[Part 16 – Precautionary principle and other considerations in making decisions 43](#_Toc57195940)

[References 44](#_Toc57195941)

[Acronyms 45](#_Toc57195942)

# assessment summary

On 22 July 2020, the Australian Fisheries Management Authority submitted an application for the Australian vessels in the Ross Sea (Statistical Subareas 88.1 and 88.2) of the Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources[[1]](#footnote-2) (CCAMLR) Convention Area (the fishery). The Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment has assessed the application under the provisions of Part 13 (protected species) and Part 13A (wildlife trade) of the *Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act), against the Australian Government ‘*Guidelines for the Ecologically Sustainable Management of Fisheries – 2nd Edition*’. A public comment period was open from 24 July 2020 to 1 September 2020. No comments we received.

**The Fishery**

The CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery operates in the Ross Sea, in CCAMLR Statistical Subareas 88.1 and 88.2 of the CCAMLR Convention Area (see Figure 1) and uses demersal longlining to target Antarctic Toothfish (*Dissostichus mawsoni*).

Each subarea is split into small-scale research units (SSRUs). Subareas 88.1 and 88.2 SSRUs 882A-B make up the Ross Sea region of the fishery and Subarea 88.2 SSRUs 882C-I make up the Amundsun Sea Region. Fish in each region are thought to be separate stocks. This reflects separation in the adult populations, but there is no evidence that recruitment is separated or that there are differences in ecosystem or management attributes.

For simplicity, throughout this report, the Amundsun Sea region will be referred to as Subarea 88.2 and the Ross Sea region be referred to as Subarea 88.1 and the entire fishery will be referred to as the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery.




Figure 1. CCAMLR convention area (*Source* [ABARES Fishery Status Report](https://www.agriculture.gov.au/abares/research-topics/fisheries/fishery-status/ccamlr-exploratory-toothfish-fisheries#272-biological-status) 2019)

**Fishery management arrangements**

All CCAMLR fisheries are managed using an ecosystem-based and precautionary approach, and management objectives which balance ‘conservation’ and ‘rational use’ of living resources and maintain existing ecological relationships. The fisheries operate in a regulatory framework which recognises different types of fisheries that reflect the stage of development and the level of information available to make management decisions.

Management arrangements are defined in [conservation measures](http://www.ccamlr.org/en/conservation-and-management/conservation-measures) and applied to Australian operations through conditions on fishing permits administered by AFMA under the *Fisheries Management Act 1991*.

Broadly, harvest controls in these fisheries include limited entry, gear restrictions, catch triggers and limits, spatial and temporal controls.

Catch limits in each fishery are agreed using decision rules that ensure the long-term sustainability of the fishery. These limits and the other operational aspects defined in the conservation measures determine when, where and how fisheries are conducted in order to manage the potential impacts on the ecosystem.

Catch limits are prescribed for toothfish ([CM 41-09](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/measure-41-09-2019) and [CM 41-10](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/measure-41-10-2019)), bycatch ([CM 33-03](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/measure-33-03-2019)) as well as vulnerable marine ecosystems ([CM 22-07](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/measure-22-07-2013)) in each of the two Subareas. Within each Subarea fishing is directed to occur over as large a geographic and bathymetric range as possible.

Research needs are prescribed in [CCAMLR conservation measures](http://www.ccamlr.org/en/conservation-and-management/conservation-measures) and include general provisions for all species ([CM 21-02](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/measure-21-02-2019)), measures designed for toothfish ([CM 41-01](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/measure-41-01-2019)) and measures tailored to Subarea 88.1 ([CM 41-09](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/measure-41-09-2019)) and 88.2 ([CM 41-10](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/measure-41-10-2019)). Each fishery has a data collection plan, a research plan and a tagging program. These plans are used to set management arrangements for catch, effort and related biological, ecological and environmental data, including plans for directing fishing effort.

Management arrangements are considered precautionary and designed to improve understanding of the fisheries. There is regular monitoring, assessment and revision of management performance. Access to the fisheries is carefully managed by CCAMLR and its Members; this includes management of licensed fishers as well as IUU fishing.

**Target stocks**

The only target species for this fishery is the Antarctic Toothfish, *Dissostichus mawsoni*. Antarctic Toothfish are endemic to the seas around Antarctica. Another species of toothfish is also caught in this area, the Patagonian Toothfish, *Dissostichus elonginoides*. Any take of Patagonian Toothfish is also counted towards the target species quota.

There are robust biennial stock assessments in CCAMLR Statistical Subarea 88.1 and two SSRUs of CCAMLR Statistical Subarea 88.2, however, data is poor in all other SSRUs in CCAMLR Statistical Subarea 88.2. Therefore, stock assessments in Subarea 88.2 are done annually and management is precautionary by necessity.

Fishing in the established research blocks is ongoing and is intended to provide data for future stock assessments ([ABARES Fishery Status Report](https://www.agriculture.gov.au/abares/research-topics/fisheries/fishery-status/ccamlr-exploratory-toothfish-fisheries" \l "272-biological-status))

**Protected species and threatened ecological communities**

Protected species interactions are monitored and managed by CCAMLR and AFMA and reported to the Department of the Environment in accordance with requirements of the EPBC Act. CCAMLR has developed risk frameworks for seabirds and marine mammals, and the CCAMLR Working Group on Fish Stock Assessment undertakes regular assessments for all Divisions and Subareas.

Since the inception of Subarea 88.2 in 2003, one unidentified seal has interacted with fishing gear in January 2020 and there has been no incidental mortalities of seabird. The seal was thought to be deceased prior to interacting with fishing gear and was unable to be identified due to the level of decomposition. Since the inception of Subarea 88.1 in 1997, two Southern giant petrels (*Macronectes giganteus*) have been caught. One was caught in 2004 and the second in 2014.

CCAMLR and AFMA require wildlife interaction reports to be completed and submitted within 24 hours of an interaction. Each report must include a detailed response to each wildlife interaction, which must be implemented immediately by the fisher to minimise the likelihood of similar interactions. These reports are reviewed regularly by AFMA’s Sub-Antarctic Resource Assessment Group, who advise AFMA on ways to further refine management arrangements.

Current measures in place to minimise risk to protected species and ecological communities include:

* Prohibition on offal discharge, and restrictions on bycatch release to avoid attracting and provisioning wildlife;
* Contingency arrangements for disposal of fish meal if the fish meal plant breaks down;
* Using integrated weight line, paired streamer lines, brickle curtains and seasonal closures to avoid seabirds attending baits;
* Minimising lighting on fishing vessels to reduce the risk of seabirds colliding with vessels;
* Prohibition on use of plastic packaging bands to secure bait boxes;
* Requirement that all plastic be burned, and the residue not discarded at sea;
* Prohibition on the dumping of oil, plastic, garbage, food waste, sewage and ash; and
* Restriction on the discharge of poultry products and brassicas to avoid the introduction of avian diseases and non-native plants.

Australia has a strong record of compliance with these requirements, which are reviewed and reported on annually by CCAMLR.

**Ecosystem impacts**

Due to the harvesting method used in the CCAMLR Exploratory Fisheries (demersal longlines), impacts to the physical ecosystem are considered to be relatively low. However, to mitigate potential impacts on potentially vulnerable marine ecosystems (such as sponge-dominated communities, cold water corals and seep and vent communities), CCAMLR applies a risk framework with regular risk assessments undertaken for all Divisions and Subareas.

CCAMLR has developed means to identify and protect vulnerable marine ecosystems from the effects of fishing; these are reflected in conservation measures including [CM 22-05](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/measure-22-05-2008), [CM 22-06](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/measure-22-06-2019), [CM 22-07](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/measure-22-07-2013), [CM 22-08](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/measure-22-08-2009) and [CM 22-09](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/measure-22-09-2012) which cover:

* Assessment of bottom fishing proposals by CCAMLR’s Scientific Committee, and ongoing assessment of impacts;
* Prohibition of fishing in depths shallower than 550 m;
* Avoidance of registered vulnerable marine ecosystems;
* Data collection to identify high risk areas; and
* Catch limits and move-on provisions to avoid damage to high risk areas encountered when fishing.

All fishing is closely monitored and managed by CCAMLR and AFMA through a combination of daily shot-by-shot catch reports, active vessel satellite monitoring systems and information from the two independent onboard scientific observers, with 100% observer coverage.

Spatial closures and move-on provisions apply when interactions with vulnerable marine ecosystems reach defined limits. These limits are further divided within each division and subarea to maximise the value of fishery data being collected and minimise any risk of localised impact*.*

**Research and monitoring**

Research needs are prescribed in [CM 41-01](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/measure-41-01-2019) (2019 - General measures for exploratory fisheries for *Dissostichus* spp. in the Convention area). The CM includes a data collection plan, a research plan and tagging program. Specific fish tagging rates are prescribed in the individual Conservation Measures.

The results of research are incorporated in the annual stock considerations, which are submitted to CCAMLR and peer reviewed by the CCAMLR Scientific Committee when assessing New and Exploratory fisheries. A description of research activities is provided in the individual fishery reports on the CCAMLR website.

Fishing activity is closely monitored by CCAMLR which notifies Contracting Parties such as AFMA when catches are likely to reach a limit. Concession holders must cease fishing in part, or all of the fisheries if certain triggers are met.

There are strict monitoring and data collection requirements for participants in CCAMLR managed fisheries. All catch must be reported by the Vessel Master on a shot-by-shot basis, as well as by two scientific observers at least one of whom must be independent, who are present on all voyages. The Vessel Master must also operate Integrated Computerised Vessel Monitoring Systems (VMS) which provide information on the vessel’s location and course, and notify AFMA prior to entering and exiting the fisheries, transhipping fish, persons or any other goods to limit the opportunity for illegal, unreported, unregulated (IUU) catch.

**Conclusion**

Following assessment, the fishery has been found to meet most of the Guidelines (Section 2) and the majority of the requirements of the EPBC Act (Section 3). On this basis, the Department has determined that product taken in the CCAMLR Ross Sea Exploratory Toothfish Fishery should be included in the list of exempt native specimens under Part 13A of the EPBC Act until 27 November 2025

# Section 1: Assessment Summary

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Guidelines assessment** | **Meets** | **Partially meets** | **Does not meet** | **Details** |
| Management regime | 9 of 9 |  |  | **The management regime meets requirements.**  Arrangements are precautionary and are closely monitored and regulated by CCAMLR and the Australian Fisheries Management Authority (AFMA). Management is transparent and information is publicly accessible. |
| Principle 1 (target stocks)  \*2 are not applicable | 6 of 11 | 3 of 11 |  | **Target stocks are well managed although do not meet all the requirements under the Guidelines.**  Robust stock assessment and catch limits according to CCAMLR decision rules have been determined for Subareas 88.1 and SSRUs 882A and 88B of Subarea 88.2. Robust stock assessments are yet to be determined for 882C to 882I of Subarea 88.2. However, stock estimates and catch limits are precautionary in Subarea 88.2, and considerably more robust than is typical of other new and exploratory fisheries. They are also consistent with Australian Government policy and are reviewed annually to account for new information.  A research plan has been developed to improve future stock assessments and inform the necessary considerations of spatial structure, biomass and connectivity of toothfish populations for Subarea 88.1. There is no current research plan specifically for Subarea 88.2, however this fishery is managed under the umbrella of the exploratory fisheries Conservation Measure [41-01](https://www.ccamlr.org/measure-41-01) and, as such, have an associated data collection plan (Annex 41-01/A), a research plan (Annex 41-01/B) and a tagging program (Annex 41-01/C) relevant to all CCAMLR fisheries.  Environmental data will also be collected and contribute to models of toothfish habitat use, which inform spatial management approaches for toothfish, and the conservation of representative areas of benthic biodiversity. This will also help map the bathymetry of fishable areas, and improve understanding of the distribution, relative abundance, and life histories of bycatch species. CCAMLR expects to achieve these outcomes over the next five years. |
| Principle 2 (bycatch and TEPS)  \*2 are not applicable | 7 of 12 | 3 of 12 |  | **Risks to bycatch and protected species are well managed and meet requirements.**  CCAMLR includes an assessment of potential risk to bycatch and protected species and broader ecosystem impacts in developing its management arrangements. However, there has not yet been a formal ecological risk assessment undertaken for Australian activities in Subareas 88.1 and 88.2 and there is no plan to undertake one. All proposals for exploratory fishing include an assessment of potential impacts on vulnerable marine ecosystems. There are also strict bycatch measures that apply to these areas including a three-seabird rule if triggered would require a vessel to switch to night setting only.  In Subarea 88.2 SSRU 882C to 882I, data is poor and therefore management is precautionary. Risk assessments performed for similar, nearby fisheries have not identified any species at high risk and risk mitigation measures recommended in these assessments are reflected in CCAMLR and Australia’s management of Subarea 88.1 and 88.2. |
| Principle 2 (ecosystem impacts) | 4 of 5 | 1 of 5 |  | **Ecological risk is well managed and meets requirements.**  As per Principle 2 (bycatch and TEPS) above. |
| **EPBC requirements** | **Meets** | **Partially meets** | **Does not meet** | **Details** |
| Part 10 |  |  |  | **No assessment of the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery has been carried out under Part 10 of the EPBC Act as the fishery is exploratory in nature and no plan of management has been made under the *Fisheries Management Act 1991 (Cth)*.** |
| Part 12 |  |  |  | **There is no Marine Bioregional Plan for the South-east Marine Region in which the fishery operates**.  There is an accreditable regime in place for the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery which has demonstrated to be effective in mitigating the risk to protected species. |
| Part 13 |  |  |  | **Meets requirements subject to Part 13 conditions.**  There is an accreditable regime in place for the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery. The CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery will be managed under the *Fisheries Management Act 1991, Fisheries Management Regulation 2019*, and the Management regime defined in CCAMLR Conservation Measures.  Given the management arrangements in place, the Department considers that all reasonable steps are being taken to prevent the killing or injuring of threatened species, migratory species and cetaceans. |
| Part 13A |  |  |  | **Meets requirements subject to Part 13A conditions.**  The management arrangements for the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery have been assessed as consistent with the general guidance provided in the objects of Part 13A. The fishery is not recommended to be an approved wildlife trade operation and the fishery does not harvest species listed under CITES. |
| Part 16 |  |  |  | **Precautionary measures are considered to be in place to prevent serious or irreversible environmental damage being caused by this fishery**  Having regard to the annual monitoring of stocks against prescribed performance measures, and the precautionary management measures already in place to mitigate risks to protected species, precautionary measures are considered to be in place to prevent serious or irreversible environmental damage being caused by this fishery. |

# Section 2: Summary of Issues Requiring Conditions and RECOMMENDATIONS

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Issue** | **Condition** |
| **General Management**  Export decisions relate to the management arrangements in force at the time of any decision(s) made under the EPBC Act. To ensure that the decision(s) remain valid and export approval continues uninterrupted, the Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (the Department) needs to be advised of any changes that are made to the management regime and make an assessment that the new arrangements are equivalent or better, in terms of ecological sustainability, than those in place at the time of the original decision(s). This includes operational and legislated amendments that may affect the sustainability of the target species or negatively impact on by-product, bycatch, EPBC Act protected species or the ecosystem. | **Condition 1:** The Australian Fisheries Management Authority (AFMA) to ensure that all relevant Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CCAMLR) conservation measures are implemented for the operation of Australian vessels in the CCAMLR Exploratory Fisheries in Statistical Subareas 88.1 and 88.1.  **Condition 2:** AFMA must inform the Department of any intended material changes to the management arrangements for Australian operations in the CCAMLR Exploratory Fisheries that may affect the assessment against which *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* decisions are made. |
| **Annual Reporting**  It is important that AFMA produce and present reports to the Department annually in order for the performance of the fishery and progress in implementing the conditions described in this report and other managerial commitments to be monitored and assessed throughout the life of the export approval. Annual reports should follow Appendix B to the *'Guidelines for the Ecologically Sustainable Management of Fisheries - 2nd Edition*' and include a description of the fishery, management arrangements in place, research and monitoring outcomes, recent catch data for all sectors of the fishery, status of target stock, interactions with EPBC Act protected species, impacts of the fishery on the ecosystem in which it operates and progress in implementing the Department’s conditions described in the previous assessment for the fishery. Electronic copies of the guidelines are available from the Department’s website at <http://www.environment.gov.au/resource/guidelines-ecologically-sustainable-management-fisheries>. | **Condition 3:** AFMA must produce and present reports to the Department annually as per Appendix B of the *Guidelines for the Ecologically Sustainable Management of Fisheries - 2nd Edition.* |
| **Issue** | **Recommendation** |
| **Ecological risks of the effects of fishing**  The Department recognises that CCAMLR, the Australian Antarctic Division of the Department, and AFMA have a well-developed research and data collection program to improve understanding of the stock status and the environmental impact of the fisheries. Over time this program should provide greater certainty in the management of ecological risk.  The Department also recognises the small scale of the fisheries, and the precautionary management arrangements and scientific rigour that has been adopted by CCAMLR and underpins their management.  The Department acknowledges the investment required to undertake a full ecological risk assessment, and notes there can diminished value in pursuing such assessments where information is limited. | **Recommendation 1**: AFMA to continue to work collaboratively with relevant expertise, particularly the Australian Antarctic Division of the Department, to ensure Australian operations in the CCAMLR Exploratory Fisheries reflect best practice for mitigation of ecological risks. |
| **Stock assessments**  The Department notes that there is no integrated stock assessment for CCAMLR Statistical Subarea 88.2 small-scale research units 882C to 882I. However, data collection is ongoing and is intended to provide data for future stock assessments (ABARES Fishery Status Report).  It is important that all stocks are managed in a precautionary way. Stock assessments can help understand the extent to which stocks can sustain impacts from activities such as commercial fishing. The Department notes that management arrangements are still considered to be precautionary and conservative catch limits are set annually by CCAMLR and take into account advice from the CCAMLR Working Group on Fish Stock Assessment, and the CCAMLR Scientific Committee.  The Department recommends that the CCAMLR undertake a stock assessment over the next five years for target species in the fishery | **Recommendation 2**: AFMA to continue to collect data to estimate population biomass and density of Antarctic Toothfish (*Dissostichus spp*.) in waters within the Statistical Subarea 88.2 small-scale research units 882C to 882I to inform a stock assessment. |

### Assessment history:

Information on previous assessments for the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery is available on the Department’s website at [Ross Sea Exploratory Toothfish Fishery in the CCAMLR Region (Statistical Subareas 88.1 and 88.2)](https://www.environment.gov.au/marine/fisheries/commonwealth/ross-sea)

1st assessment finalised December 2014 – LENS- 3 Recommendations

### Fishery reporting:

[Fishery Report: Dissostichus mawsoni in Subarea 88.1 Annual report](http://fishdocs.ccamlr.org/FishRep_881_TOA.html), 27 July 2020

[Fishery Report: Dissostichus mawsoni in Subarea 88.2 Annual report](http://fishdocs.ccamlr.org/FishRep_882_TOA.html), 27 July 2020

### Key links:

Fishery information

* [Schedule of Conservation Measures in force in 2019/20](https://www.ccamlr.org/node/74261)

Management plan

* Conservation Measure [41-09](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/measure-41-09-2019): Limits on the exploratory fishery for Dissostichus mawsoni in Statistical Subarea 88.1 in the 2019/20 season
* Conservation Measure [41-10](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/measure-41-10-2019): Limits on the exploratory fishery for Dissostichus mawsoni in Statistical Subarea 88.2in the 2019/20 season

Enforcing legislation

* *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (*EPBC Act*)*

* *[Antarctic Marine Living Resources Conservation Act 1981](https://www.comlaw.gov.au/Series/C2004A02408)*
* *Antarctic Marine Living Resources Conservation Regulations 1994*
* [*Fisheries Management Act 1991* (FM Act)](https://www.comlaw.gov.au/Series/C2004A04237)
* [*Fisheries Management Regulations 2019 (*FM Regulations*)*](https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2019L00383)

Stock assessments

* [Assessment models for Antarctic Toothfish (*Dissostichus mawsoni)* in the Ross Sea region to 2018/19.](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/wg-fsa-2019/08)

# Section 3: Detailed Analysis Against the Guidelines

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Guidelines criteria** | **Comment** |
| **THE MANAGEMENT REGIME** | |
| The management regime does not have to be a formal statutory fishery management plan as such and may include non-statutory management arrangements or management policies and programs. The regime should: | |
| Be documented, publicly available and transparent. | **Meets – The management regime is clearly defined, transparent and publicly available on the** [*CCAMLR website*](https://www.ccamlr.org/en)**.**  Information on fishery performance, including catch of target and bycatch species, and compliance with CCAMLR conservation measures is published on the CCAMLR website.  Meetings where management arrangements are developed are well documented on CCAMLR and AFMA websites.  Australia’s management arrangements are broadly defined in the *Fisheries Management Act 1991* (FM Act)and the *Fisheries Management Regulations 2019* (FM Regulations).  AFMA and the Australian Antarctic Division (AAD) also publish a [*Guide to CCAMLR New and Exploratory Fisheries*](https://www.afma.gov.au/sites/default/files/final_guide_to_ccamlr_new_and_exploratory_fisheries_2020.pdf)which outlines the process for Australian companies or persons to apply for a permit to fish in CCAMLR New and Exploratory Fisheries and the regulations that would apply to such fishing operations. |
| Be developed through a consultative process providing opportunity to all interested and affected parties, including the general public. | **Meets – Management is consultative and transparent and is open to all Australian interested parties.**  CCAMLR meetings, where management arrangements for the fisheries are defined, are restricted to delegates from member countries and approved observers. Australia’s delegation can include Commonwealth, State and Territory government representatives, fishing industry and conservation interests. The consultation process through CCAMLR for accessing new and exploratory fisheries is described in Section 3 of AFMA’s [New and Exploratory Fishing Guide](https://www.afma.gov.au/sites/default/files/final_guide_to_ccamlr_new_and_exploratory_fisheries_2020.pdf).  Within Australia, consultation is undertaken by the Australian Government to develop a national position on CCAMLR issues. These positions are developed in consultation with stakeholders, mainly through meetings of the CCAMLR Consultative Forum (CCF). The CCF is convened by the Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) and includes representatives from government and non-government organisations, including industry, and all Australian interested parties and meets three times a year.  SouthMAC is the relevant domestic Management Advisory Committee for the fisheries and includes representation from AFMA, AAD, CSIRO, conservation and industry. The Sub-Antarctic Resource Assessment Group (SARAG) is the key domestic scientific assessment group for the fisheries and includes representation from AFMA, AAD, CSIRO, industry and expertise-based scientists. |
| Ensure that a range of expertise and community interests are involved in individual fishery management committees and during the stock assessment process. | **Meets – Management draw on a wide range of expertise and interests**  CCAMLR draws on a wide range of scientific, fisheries management and other expertise in developing its management arrangements. Australia’s delegation to CCAMLR includes Commonwealth, State and Territory government representatives, fishing industry and conservation interests.  Within Australia the CCAMLR Consultative Forum, Sub-Antarctic Resource Assessment Group and Sub-Antarctic Fisheries Management Advisory Committee include representatives from research, government, and non-government sectors, including the fishing industry and conservation interests.  The Australian Antarctic Division of the Department of Agriculture Water and the Environment undertakes or participates in the CCAMLR stock assessments for Antarctic fisheries. Their work is peer reviewed through the abovementioned groups. |
| Be strategic, containing objectives and performance criteria by which the effectiveness of the management arrangements are measured. | **Meets - Management is strategic, with clear objectives and performance management processes.**  Catch limits and triggers are set, based on available information and the information required to better understand the fisheries’ potential. These requirements are regularly reviewed and defined in CCAMLR research and data collection plans.  Compliance with management arrangements is actively monitored by AFMA and reported to CCAMLR by all CCAMLR members. The performance of fisheries against CCAMLR’s objectives is also monitored and reported by CCAMLR. |
| Be capable of controlling the level of harvest in the fishery using input and/or output controls. | **Meets – Effective harvest controls in place**  CCAMLR has a well-developed suite of input and output controls defined in its conservation measures. These have been demonstrated to be effective for managing harvest in the fisheries by authorised vessels. For Australian operations these arrangements are reflected in conditions on permits issued by AFMA.  Catches from licensed operators are rigorously monitored and reported against, and since 2012 (at least), have been well below the limits set by CCAMLR.  Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated (IUU) fishing poses a significant challenge for CCAMLR. No IUU vessels have been sighted in Division 58.4.1 and 58.4.2 since 2015 and 2016 respectively. IUU catches have not been estimated in recent years due to methodological difficulties, however in the past they were estimated to be well in excess of the legal catch limits.  Recently there have been success in combatting IUU fishing. Evidence from 2014/15 shows a significant disruption to IUU vessel operations, detentions in port states, deliberate sinking of one vessel and action taken by CCAMLR Members whose nationals have been engaged in IUU fishing. No IUU fishing has been detected since 2016. Australia continues to play a key role in surveillance and enforcement activities within the region and is having a significant positive effect. |
| Contain the means of enforcing critical aspects of the management arrangements. | **Meets – Effective enforcement capability for legal fishing is in place.**  CCAMLR prescribes binding arrangements for management of fisheries in the region. CCAMLR Members are then responsible for regulating the operations of their vessels in CCAMLR fisheries. Australia gives effect to the arrangements through conditions on permits issued by AFMA under section 33 of the FM Act. Australia and other CCAMLR Members also play an important role in managing IUU fishing in the region.  AFMA’s powers are conferred through Part 6 of the FM Act.  AFMA monitors compliance by requiring:   * prior notification when fishing vessels enter and exit the fisheries; * any transhipment of fish, goods or persons between vessels to be approved, observed and verified by an independent observer; * operation of VMS to monitor vessel activities; * carriage of two scientific observers one of who must be independent on all voyages to monitor and record data and fisher’s compliance with management arrangements. A formal process also allows observers to raise any compliance issues with the Vessel Master; * compliance with the CCAMLR Catch Documentation Scheme, to verify and track catch throughout the supply chain (in accordance with CM 10-05 Catch Documentation Scheme for Dissostichus spp.); * daily reporting through fishing logbooks and wildlife interaction reports completed by the Vessel Master; * Port monitoring of all catch unloads by authorised personnel. This further verifies catch records maintained by the observer, reported by the vessel’s Master and ensures compliance with CCAMLR Conservation Measures; and * The completion of shot-by-shot logbooks and provision of that data to CCAMLR and AFMA. |
| Provide for the periodic review of the performance of the fishery management arrangements and the management strategies, objectives and criteria. | **Meets – Management includes a robust, regular and transparent performance management regime.**  The CCAMLR Commission receives advice from its Scientific Committee which is itself informed by various working groups focussed on ecosystem monitoring and management, statistics, assessments and modelling, and fish stock assessments. Australia is an active participant in these groups.  This advice, in addition to the advice from the Standing Committee on Implementation and Compliance is considered by the Commission at its annual meetings and used to review and further develop the conservation measures.  AFMA also monitors fishery performance and responds to any issues to ensure compliance with the conservation measures.  Where appropriate Australia’s delegation brings forward proposals and raises issues for consideration by CCAMLR at their annual meetings. |
| Be capable of assessing, monitoring and avoiding, remedying or mitigating any adverse impacts on the wider marine ecosystem in which the target species lives, and the fishery operates. | **Meets – Management includes acceptable mitigation of ecological risk.**  There are strict monitoring and data collection requirements for participants in CCAMLR managed fisheries.  Formal mechanisms allow independent scientific observers to raise issues with the Vessel Master, ensuring operators are aware of, and comply with management measures. Vessel Masters are also required to immediately define and implement strategies in response to any interactions with protected species.  Limits and triggers are set for vulnerable marine environments and where these are identified, relevant areas are closed to fishing.  CCAMLR conservation measures include a range of protections for vulnerable marine ecosystems and non-target species including bycatch and protected species. These include area closures and gear restrictions. These measures are implemented and managed by the management arrangements administered by AFMA. |
| Requires compliance with relevant threat abatement plans, recovery plans, the National Policy on Fisheries Bycatch, and bycatch action strategies developed under the policy. | **Meets – Compliant with all relevant plans**  AFMA presents information on the performance against the *Threat Abatement Plan for the incidental catch (or bycatch) of seabirds during oceanic longline fishing operations (2018)* at the annual Threat Abatement Plan (TAP) Stakeholder meeting, convened by the Australian Antarctic Division. As vessels participating in the fisheries are required to cover two observers, monitoring rates exceed those required by the TAP. No seabirds have been reported as killed by fishing gear in these fisheries. |
| **PRINCIPLE 1 -** A fishery must be conducted in a manner that does not lead to over-fishing, or for those stocks that are over-fished, the fishery must be conducted such that there is a high degree of probability the stock(s) will recover**.** | |
| **Objective 1 -** The fishery shall be conducted at catch levels that maintain ecologically viable stock levels at an agreed point or range, with acceptable levels of probability. | |
| ***Information requirements*** | |
| ***1.1.1*** There is a reliable information collection system in place appropriate to the scale of the fishery. The level of data collection should be based upon an appropriate mix of fishery independent and dependent research and monitoring. | **Meets- There is a robust research and monitoring system that includes both fishery-dependent and independent data collection.**  The information needs for new and exploratory fisheries are considered by specialist working groups and the CCAMLR Scientific Committee. They are then prescribed in CCAMLR conservation measures each year. Current measures include both fishery-dependent monitoring and data collection and independent monitoring and data collection undertaken by independent scientific observers.  This regime balances the need for precautionary management, with the need to quickly reduce uncertainty for future development. The current conservation measures are expected to deliver more robust assessments in the future.  There is reliable information collection as CCAMLR has strict reporting requirements and each vessel participating in the exploratory fisheries must have at least two scientific observers on each vessel. |
| ***Assessment*** | |
| ***1.1.2*** There is a robust assessment of the dynamics and status of the species/fishery and periodic review of the process and the data collected. Assessment should include a process to identify any reduction in biological diversity and /or reproductive capacity. Review should take place at regular intervals but at least every three years. | **Partially meets – Stock assessments are robust, however data is limited in CCAMLR Statistical Subarea 88.2; management is therefore precautionary by necessity**  Catch limits for toothfish in the CCAMLR Statistical Subarea 88.1 of the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery are based on biennial stock assessments. CCAMLR Statistical Subarea 88.2 is data poor and therefore stock estimates are done annually.  The most recent stock assessments for CCAMLR Subarea 88.1 and small-scale research units A-B of subarea 88.2 were conducted in 2019 based on surveys, catch and a comprehensive annual tagging program across fisheries. The estimated current level of biomass is at 66% of unfished biomass ([ABARES Fishery Status Report](https://www.agriculture.gov.au/abares/research-topics/fisheries/fishery-status/ccamlr-exploratory-toothfish-fisheries#272-biological-status) 2019). The catch limit for subarea 88.1 equates to 4.3% of the estimated current biomass. Given the relatively high estimate of current biomass in subarea 88.1, this TAC is regarded as conservative ([ABARES Fishery Status Report](https://www.agriculture.gov.au/abares/research-topics/fisheries/fishery-status/ccamlr-exploratory-toothfish-fisheries#272-biological-status) 2019).  Annual stock assessments occurred in the CCAMLR Subarea 88.2 from 2003 to 2013 based on catch data and catch-at-age frequencies. However, these assessments were not considered to be representative of all SSRUs in the Subarea 88.2. In 2018, progress was made on updating the full stock assessment for all SSRUs, however due to lack of data and change in spatial coverage of statistical subarea 88.2, this assessment could not be used for management advice.  Catch limits are calculated following the trend analysis rules developed by WG-FSA for research blocks ([CAMLR-XXXVI 2017, Annex 7 paragraph 4.33](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/system/files/e-sc-xxxvi_3.pdf)). Catch limits were set conservatively at 4% of the estimated current biomass and the catch limit can not vary more than 20% per year.  Given the relatively high spawning biomass estimated in 2013 (Subarea 88.2) and 2019 (Subarea 88.1), the stock is classified as **not overfished.** The TAC was set at a conservative level based on previous research and was fully taken. IUU fishing has been largely eliminated by enforcement efforts. Given this precautionary approach, the limited entry to the fishery and the extensive CCAMLR review process, the stock is classified as **not subject to overfishing** ([ABARES Fishery Status Report](https://www.agriculture.gov.au/abares/research-topics/fisheries/fishery-status/ccamlr-exploratory-toothfish-fisheries#272-biological-status) 2019). |
| ***1.1.3*** The distribution and spatial structure of the stock(s) has been established and factored into management responses*.* | **Partially meets – Stock assessments consider all available information on distribution and spatial structures. However, information is limited in CCAMLR Statistical Subarea 88.2.**  Distribution and spatial structure of stock are considered when setting catch limits in individual SSRUs. However, in Subarea 88.2 limited data and changed spatial coverage due to the implementation of the Ross Sea Marine Protected Area (MPA) limits management advice.  Environmental data is collected to inform models of toothfish habitat use and approaches for spatial management of toothfish. This data also informs the conservation of representative areas of benthic biodiversity. This will help improve understanding of the distribution, relative abundance, and life histories of bycatch species (CCAMLR refers to anything that is retained for sale but is not target species as by-catch). A tag-recapture program is also in force across all areas of the fisheries.  There are many instances where the spatial structure of fishing and population dynamics is considered by experts in reports. This indicates a high level of understanding of the importance of this component to the management of these fisheries in the future ([WG-FSA-2019](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/wg-fsa-2019/08)). |
| ***1.1.4*** There are reliable estimates of all removals, including commercial (landings and discards), recreational and indigenous, from the fished stock. These estimates have been factored into stock assessments and target species catch levels. | **Meets- Stock assessments consider all removals from the fisheries.**  All removals from the fisheries are closely monitored and highly regulated. CCAMLR also includes estimates of IUU fishing when setting conservation measures, including catch limits, each year.  Following the recognition of issues regarding the estimation of IUU catch levels since 2011, evidence of IUU presence or activity has continued to be recorded but no corresponding estimates of catch for *Dissostichus spp*.  Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated (IUU) fishing posed a significant challenge for CCAMLR. Although IUU catches have not been estimated in recent years, in the past they were estimated to be well in excess of the legal catch limits.  Recently there have been success in combatting IUU fishing. Evidence from 2014/15 shows a significant disruption to IUU vessel operations, detentions in port states, deliberate sinking of one vessel and action taken by CCAMLR Members whose nationals have been engaged in IUU fishing. No IUU fishing has been detected since 2016. Australia continues to play a key role in surveillance and enforcement activities within the region and is having a significant positive effect.  All catch from CCAMLR fisheries is recorded and reported by the Vessel Master on a shot by shot basis, as well as by two scientific observers one of whom is independent, who are present on all voyages. The Vessel Master must also notify AFMA prior to entering and exiting the fisheries, transhipping fish, persons or any other goods to limit the opportunity for IUU catch.  Concession holders must fulfil the obligations of the [CCAMLR’s Catch Documentation Scheme](http://www.ccamlr.org/en/compliance/catch-documentation-scheme-cds) for the unloading of toothfish product, and facilitate in-port monitoring of all catch unloads, by an authority of the Port State. This allows CCAMLR to verify the data reported by the observers and the Vessel Master. |
| ***1.1.5*** There is a sound estimate of the potential productivity of the fished stock/s and the proportion that could be harvested. | **Partially meets – Productivity in Subarea 88.1 is understood, however productivity in Subarea 88.2 is not well understood and therefore plans are in place to reduce uncertainty and improve stock assessments.**  Conservative catch limits are set annually by CCAMLR and take into account advice from the CCAMLR Working Group on Fish Stock Assessment, and the CCAMLR Scientific Committee.  The Working Group on Fish Stock Assessment estimates stock biomass and recommends annual catch limits based on pre-determined decision rules. Catch limits ensure the median estimated spawning stock biomass (not total biomass) is greater than or equal to 50% of the average pre-exploitation spawning biomass after a further 35 years of fishing. In addition, catch limits must ensure less than a 10% probability that the spawning biomass will decline below 20% of the pre-exploitation level at any time during this period ([ABARES Fishery Status Report](https://www.agriculture.gov.au/abares/research-topics/fisheries/fishery-status/ccamlr-exploratory-toothfish-fisheries#272-biological-status) 2019).  Distinct stocks within Subareas have been identified as well as other biological data such as spawning and larval transport. This is considered by CCAMLR when setting catch limits.  When productivity is not well understood, information from previous fishing in these areas, as well as other CCAMLR areas informs CCAMLR’s decisions. |
| ***Management responses*** | |
| ***1.1.6*** There are reference points (target and/or limit), that trigger management actions including a biological bottom line and/or a catch or effort upper limit beyond which the stock should not be taken. | **Meets – Robust reference points are in place in Statistical Subarea 88.1 and precautionary reference points are in place, reflecting limited information on stock status Statistical Subarea 88.2**  Given the limited data available in Subarea 88.2, catch limits are set conservatively at levels so that they do not exceed 3% of the estimated stock size. Catch limits for Subarea 88.1 equates to 4.3% of the estimated current biomass. Given the relatively high estimate of current biomass in subarea 88.1, this TAC is therefore regarded as conservative.  Catch limits ensure the median estimated spawning stock biomass (not total biomass) is greater than or equal to 50% of the average pre-exploitation spawning biomass after a further 35 years of fishing, with the additional condition that there is less than a 10% probability that the spawning biomass will decline below 20% of the pre-exploitation level at any time during this period.  These reference points are more precautionary than prescribed in Australia’s Commonwealth Fisheries Harvest Strategy Policy and Guidelines (2018). |
| ***1.1.7*** There are management strategies in place capable of controlling the level of take. | **Meets – Effective harvest controls are in place**  Total allowable catch limits and limited numbers of licenses control the level of take in the fisheries.  Fishing activity, including catches is closely monitored by CCAMLR and its members, including Australia.  Catch and effort data per small scale research unit is reported daily as required in [CM 41-01](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/measure-41-01-2019). |
| ***1.1.8*** Fishing is conducted in a manner that does not threaten stocks of by-product species. | **Meets – Effective controls are in place to manage risks to all species, including by-product species**  The CCAMLR conservation measures prescribe catch limits for skates and rays (Rajids), grenadiers (Macrourids) as well as all other species encountered as bycatch.  Fishing is also restricted to demersal longline methods in waters deeper than 550 m ([CM 22-08](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/measure-22-08-2009)) to minimise the potential impacts on juvenile toothfish and vulnerable marine ecosystems.  Within each Subarea, catch limits are further subdivided into SSRUs based on a proportion of the target species catch limit, or a defined amount (whichever is greater). These limits are prescribed in [CM 33-03](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/measure-33-03-2019). Triggers exist to minimise interaction rates (e.g. move-on provisions if catches of any one species reach one tonne in a single haul, and closures where catches reach defined levels). |
| (Guidelines 1.1.1 to 1.1.7 should be applied to by-product species to an appropriate level) | |
| ***1.1.9*** The management response, considering uncertainties in the assessment and precautionary management actions, has a high chance of achieving the objective. | **Meets – Effective controls are in place to manage risks to all species, including by-product species.**  Management arrangements are precautionary and designed to improve understanding of the fisheries. There is regular monitoring and assessment of management performance as well as opportunity for revision where necessary. No further requirements have been identified at this time. |
| **If overfished, go to Objective 2:**  **If not overfished, go to PRINCIPLE 2:** | |
| **Objective 2 -** Where the fished stock(s) are below a defined reference point, the fishery will be managed to promote recovery to ecologically viable stock levels within nominated timeframes. | |
| ***Management responses*** | |
| ***1.2.1*** A precautionary recovery strategy is in place specifying management actions, or staged management responses, which are linked to reference points. The recovery strategy should apply until the stock recovers and should aim for recovery within a specific time period appropriate to the biology of the stock. | **CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery is not considered to be subject to overfishing (**[**ABARES Fishery Status Report**](https://www.agriculture.gov.au/abares/research-topics/fisheries/fishery-status/ccamlr-exploratory-toothfish-fisheries#272-biological-status) **2019**). |
| ***1.2.2*** If the stock is estimated as being at or below the biological and / or effort bottom line, management responses such as a zero targeted catch, temporary fishery closure or a ‘whole of fishery’ effort or quota reduction are implemented. |
| **PRINCIPLE 2 -** Fishing operations should be managed to minimise their impact on the structure, productivity, function and biological diversity of the ecosystem. | |
| **Objective 1 -** The fishery is conducted in a manner that does not threaten bycatch species. | |
| ***Information requirements*** | |
| ***2.1.1*** Reliable information, appropriate to the scale of the fishery, is collected on the composition and abundance of bycatch. | **Meets – There is a robust research and monitoring system that includes both fishery-dependent and independent data collection.**  The CCAMLR conservation measures prescribe catch limits for skates and rays (Rajids), and grenadiers (Macrourids) as well as all other species encountered as bycatch. Where possible these are reported at a species level. Examples of reporting by Australian vessels in other Divisions shows reporting is generally at a species level.  Within each Division and Subarea, these limits are further divided across smaller areas based on a proportion of the target species catch limit, or a defined amount (whichever is greater). These limits are prescribed in [CM 33-03.](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/measure-33-03-2019)  Triggers exist to minimise interaction rates (e.g. move-on provisions if catches of any one species reach one tonne in a single haul, and closures where catches reach defined levels). |
| ***Assessment*** | |
| ***2.1.2*** There is a risk analysis of the bycatch with respect to its vulnerability to fishing. | **Meets- Bycatch is considered as part of annual stock and management considerations**  CCAMLR has developed risk frameworks for vulnerable marine ecosystems, seabirds and marine mammals. The CCAMLR Working Group on Fishery Stock Assessment also undertakes regular risk assessments of CCAMLR fisheries by Division including new and exploratory fisheries. All bycatch levels in both subareas are significantly lower than the prescribed catch limits for all years since their commencement.  Research results, including data collected through exploratory fishing are used in CCAMLR’s annual stock considerations, and are peer reviewed by the CCAMLR Working Group on Fish Stock Assessment and CCAMLR Scientific Committee when assessing the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery. |
| ***Management responses*** | |
| ***2.1.3*** Measures are in place to avoid capture and mortality of bycatch species unless it is determined that the level of catch is sustainable (except in relation to endangered, threatened or protected species). Steps must be taken to develop suitable technology if none is available. | **Meets – Management responses are in place.**  Bycatch and protected species interactions are monitored and managed by CCAMLR and AFMA. Monitoring is achieved through daily shot by shot catch reports, VMS, and information from two independent onboard scientific observers. Formal mechanisms allow independent scientific observers to raise issues with the Vessel Master, ensuring operators are aware of, and comply with management measures, and Vessel Masters are required to immediately define and implement strategies in response to any interactions with protected species.  Bycatch levels in both Subareas have been significantly lower than the prescribed catch limits for all years since their commencement.  Since the inception of Subarea 88.2 in 2003, one unidentified seal has interacted with fishing gear in January 2020 and there has been no incidental mortalities of seabird. It is believed that the seal was deceased prior to interacting with fishing gear due to level of decomposition. Since the inception of Subarea 88.1 there has been two seabirds caught, both were Southern giant petrels (*Macronectes giganteus*). One was caught in 2004 and the second in 2014.  Incidence and response reports are also reviewed regularly at Sub-Antarctic Resource Assessment Group meetings and advice is then used by AFMA to further refine management arrangements.  Current measures in place to minimise risk to protected species include:   * Prohibition on offal discharge to avoid attracting and provisioning wildlife; * Using integrated weight line, paired streamer lines, brickle curtains and seasonal closures to avoid seabirds attending baits; * Minimising lighting on fishing vessels to reduce the risk of seabirds colliding with vessels; and * Prohibition on use of plastic packaging bands to secure bait boxes.   Bycatch is controlled using catch limits, fishing depth restrictions, area closures and move-on provisions. AFMA’s management arrangements are also consistent with the following measures:   * [Threat Abatement Plan for the incidental catch (or bycatch) of seabirds during oceanic longline fishing operations (2018)](https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2018L01562); and * [CCAMLR conservation measures](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/conservation-and-management/browse-conservation-measures). |
| ***2.1.4*** An indicator group of bycatch species is monitored. | **Partially meets – Bycatch is comprehensively monitored but indicator species have not been identified.**  There are no identified indicator species. However, bycatch limits are in place for skates and rays (Rajids), grenadiers (Macrourids) as well as all other species (as a group); catch reporting within these groups is typically at a species level.  Limits are also in place for vulnerable marine ecosystems and seabirds. Catches are closely monitored and managed by CCAMLR and AFMA through a combination of daily shot-by-shot catch reports, active vessel satellite monitoring systems and information from the two independent onboard scientific observers.  Current bycatch categories are based on catch composition rather than any consideration of value as an indicator of ecological impact. |
| ***2.1.5*** There are decision rules that trigger additional management measures when there are significant perturbations in the indicator species numbers*.* | **Partially meets - Precautionary decision rules, including triggers are in place and their performance is regularly assessed.**  There are no identified indicator species. However, spatial closures and move-on provisions apply when catches of target and bycatch species, or interactions with vulnerable marine ecosystems reach defined limits. These limits are further divided over SSRUs to maximise the value of the fishery data being collected and minimise any risk of localised impact. Where interactions with protected species occur, the concession holder is also required to immediately implement measures outlined in their wildlife interaction reports to minimise the likelihood of similar interactions with protected species. |
| ***2.1.6*** The management response, considering uncertainties in the assessment and precautionary management actions, has a high chance of achieving the objective. | **Meets – The close monitoring and management of the precautionary measures, and the scientific and other expertise involved in these processes provide confidence in the management actions.** |
| **Objective 2 -** The fishery is conducted in a manner that avoids mortality of, or injuries to, endangered, threatened or protected species and avoids or minimises impacts on threatened ecological communities. | |
| ***Information requirements*** | |
| ***2.2.1*** Reliable information is collected on the interaction with endangered, threatened or protected species and threatened ecological communities. | **Meets – There is a robust research and monitoring system that includes both fishery-dependent and independent data collection.**  CCAMLR requires all participants in CCAMLR fisheries to complete and submit detailed daily fishing reports to monitor the catch of target, bycatch and protected species. These reports are typically at a species level.  For protected species, the concession holder must also detail and immediately implement measures to minimise the likelihood of subsequent similar interactions.  Australia has a strong record of compliance with these requirements, which are reviewed and reported on annually by CCAMLR. |
| ***Assessments*** | |
| ***2.2.2*** There is an assessment of the impact of the fishery on endangered, threatened or protected species. | **Partially meets- An ecological risk assessment, according to Australian and New Zealand standards, is not planned to be undertaken. However relevant information is available, and management is precautionary.**  CCAMLR is an international fishery with the objectives of the Convention being the conservation of Antarctic Marine living resources. CCAMLR has developed risk frameworks for vulnerable marine ecosystems, seabirds and marine mammals. The CCAMLR Working Group on Fishing Stock Assessment also undertake regular risk assessments of CCAMLR fisheries by Division and Subareas including for new and exploratory fisheries.  While there is no formal evaluation of the ecosystem implications of fishing for either Area performed by CCAMLR, the risk level for seabirds in both subareas, based on current conservation measures, is ranked ‘category 3’ - average (CCAMLR Fishery Reports [88.1](http://fishdocs.ccamlr.org/FishRep_881_TOA.html) and [88.2](http://fishdocs.ccamlr.org/FishRep_882_TOA.html), SC-CAMLR-XXVIII, Annex 7, Table 14 and Figure 2).  This fishery was found to have essentially no direct interactions with any protected species, with only one seabird being taken as by-catch in the last 7 years and one unidentified seal in 2020. |
| ***2.2.3*** There is an assessment of the impact of the fishery on threatened ecological communities. | **Not applicable- No threatened ecological communities have been identified in the area** |
| ***Management responses*** | |
| ***2.2.4*** There are measures in place to avoid capture and/or mortality of endangered, threatened or protected species. | **Meets – Effective strategies are in place to mitigate risks of protected species.**  Current measures include:   * retain fish offal to minimise the attraction of seabirds and marine mammals to the boat; * use internally weighted longlines with an integrated weight of at least 50g/m; * deploy a streamer line during longline setting; * deploy a bird exclusion device (BED) in high risk areas to discourage birds from accessing baits during the hauling of longlines; * ensure that the amount of light showing from the boat does not exceed the amount necessary for the safe operation of the boat; * change to night fishing night setting only (i.e. setting only during the hours of darkness between the times of nautical twilight) if three (3) or more seabirds are caught by longline; and * Restrictions on plastic packaging bands, avoiding any possible impact these bands may have on wildlife.   Fishing depth restrictions, area closures and move-on provisions provide additional protection.  AFMA’s management arrangements are also consistent with the following measures:   * [Threat Abatement Plan for the incidental catch (or bycatch) of seabirds during oceanic longline fishing operations (2018)](https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2018L01562); and * [CCAMLR conservation measures](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/conservation-and-management/browse-conservation-measures). |
| ***2.2.5*** There are measures in place to avoid impact on threatened ecological communities. | **Not applicable**  **No threatened ecological communities have been identified in the area** |
| ***2.2.6*** The management response, considering uncertainties in the assessment and precautionary management actions, has a high chance of achieving the objective. | **Meets- An ecological risk assessment, according to Australian and New Zealand standards, is not planned to be undertaken. However relevant information is available, and management is precautionary and has a high chance of achieving objectives.**  The CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery has not had a formal ecological risk assessment, and there is no plan for one to be undertaken. However, CCAMLR has developed risk frameworks for vulnerable marine ecosystems, seabirds and marine mammals. The CCAMLR Working Group on Fishing Stock Assessment also undertake regular risk assessments of CCAMLR fisheries by Division including for new and exploratory fisheries.  Qualitative risk assessments undertaken on similar, nearby fisheries (Heard Island and Macquarie Island Fisheries, 2009 and for New Zealand operations in Divisions 58.4.1 and 58.4.2) found no species at risk of overfishing based on the management arrangements in place.  Management arrangements are precautionary and designed to deliver information on the fisheries before further development and longer-term investment occurs.  Vulnerable marine ecosystems and impacts on the environment are considered in managing CCAMLR fisheries.  Given the implementation of the requirements of the CCAMLR Conservation Measures, it is considered there is a high likelihood the management responses will ensure that Australian operations in the Ross Sea exploratory toothfish fishery will be effective and the operations will avoid mortality of or injuries to protected species. |
| **Objective 3 -** The fishery is conducted, in a manner that minimises the impact of fishing operations on the ecosystem generally. | |
| ***Information requirements*** | |
| **2.3.1** Information appropriate for the analysis in 2.3.2 is collated and/or collected covering the fishery’s impact on the ecosystem and environment generally. | **Meets- Robust data collection methods have been established by CCAMLR and are administered by AFMA, as described above at 2.1.1 and 2.2.1.** |
| ***Assessment*** | |
| **2.3.2** Information is collected and a risk analysis, appropriate to the scale of the fishery and its potential impacts, is conducted into the susceptibility of each of the following ecosystem components to the fishery.  1. Impacts on ecological communities  • Benthic communities  • Ecologically related, associated or dependent species  • Water column communities  2. Impacts on food chains  • Structure  • Productivity/flows  3. Impacts on the physical environment  • Physical habitat  • Water quality | **Partially meets- An ecological risk assessment, according to Australian and New Zealand standards, is not planned to be undertaken. However relevant information is available, and management is precautionary.**  The fisheries are new and exploratory and as yet have not had a formal ecological risk assessment. However, CCAMLR has developed risk frameworks for vulnerable marine ecosystems, seabirds and marine mammals. The CCAMLR Working Group on Fishing Stock Assessment also undertake regular risk assessments of CCAMLR fisheries by Subarea including for new and exploratory fisheries.  While there is no formal evaluation of the ecosystem implications of fishing for either Area performed by CCAMLR, the risk level for seabirds in both subareas, based on current conservation measures, is ranked ‘category 3’ - average (CCAMLR Fishery Reports [88.1](http://fishdocs.ccamlr.org/FishRep_881_TOA.html) and [88.2](http://fishdocs.ccamlr.org/FishRep_882_TOA.html), SC-CAMLR-XXVIII, Annex 7, Table 14 and Figure 2).  Management arrangements are precautionary and designed to deliver information on the fisheries before any further development and longer-term investment occurs.  Vulnerable marine ecosystems and impacts on the environment are considered in managing CCAMLR fisheries.  These arrangements are considered appropriate to the scale of the fisheries at this time. |
| ***Management responses*** | |
| ***2.3.3*** Management actions are in place to ensure significant damage to ecosystems does not arise from the impacts described in 2.3.1. | **Management is precautionary and includes appropriate ecosystem protections.**  The fisheries are new and exploratory and as yet have not had a formal ecological risk assessment. However, CCAMLR has developed risk frameworks for vulnerable marine ecosystems, seabirds and marine mammals. The CCAMLR Working Group on Fishing Stock Assessment also undertake regular risk assessments of CCAMLR fisheries by Subarea including for new and exploratory fisheries.  While there is no formal evaluation of the ecosystem implications of fishing for either Area performed by CCAMLR, the risk level for seabirds in both subareas, based on current conservation measures, is ranked ‘category 3’ - average (CCAMLR Fishery Reports [88.1](http://fishdocs.ccamlr.org/FishRep_881_TOA.html) and [88.2](http://fishdocs.ccamlr.org/FishRep_882_TOA.html), SC-CAMLR-XXVIII, Annex 7, Table 14 and Figure 2).  Management arrangements are precautionary and designed to deliver information on the fisheries before any further development and longer-term investment occurs.  Vulnerable marine ecosystems and impacts on the environment are considered in managing CCAMLR fisheries.  These arrangements are considered appropriate to the scale of the fisheries at this time. |
| ***2.3.4*** There are decision rules that trigger further management responses when monitoring detects impacts on selected ecosystem indicators beyond a predetermined level, or where action is indicated by application of the precautionary approach. | **Precautionary decision rules, including triggers are in place and their performance is regularly assessed.**  Fishing activity is closely monitored by CCAMLR and AFMA and spatial closures and move-on provisions apply when catches of bycatch species and interactions with vulnerable marine ecosystems reach defined limits. These limits divided across smaller areas within each Subarea to maximise the value of fishery data being collected and minimise any risk of localised impact. The concession holder is also required to immediately implement measures outlined in their wildlife interaction reports to minimise the likelihood of subsequent similar interactions with protected species. |
| ***2.3.5*** The management response, considering uncertainties in the assessment and precautionary management actions, has a high chance of achieving the objective. | **Management arrangements are precautionary and considered appropriate to the scale of the fisheries at this time.**  Management arrangements are precautionary and designed to deliver information on the fisheries before any further development and longer-term investment occurs.  Vulnerable marine ecosystems and impacts on the environment are considered in managing CCAMLR fisheries. |

# Section 4: Assessment Against the EPBC Act

The table below is not a complete or exact representation of the EPBC Act. It is intended to show that the relevant sections and components of the EPBC Act have been taken into account in the formulation of advice on the fishery in relation to decisions under Part 13 and Part 13A.

## Part 10 – Strategic assessments

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Division 2 Assessment of Commonwealth-managed fisheries**  **Section 153 Minister must make declaration if he or she endorses plan or policy** | **The Department’s assessment of the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery** |
| (1) This section applies if:  (a) the Minister makes an agreement under section 146 as required by this Division and endorses under the agreement:  (i) a plan of management under the Fisheries Management Act 1991 (CTH) for a fishery; or  (ii) policies of the Australian Fisheries Management Authority for managing a fishery for which there is not a plan of management under the Fisheries Management Act; or  (iii) a plan of management under the *Torres Strait Fisheries Act 1984* (CTH) for a fishery; or  (iii) policies for managing fishing under the Torres Strait Fisheries Act; and  (b) the Minister accredits, under subsection 33(3) of this Act, as an accredited arrangement a management plan or regime consisting of the endorsed plan or policies.  (2) The Minister must make a declaration under section 33 that actions approved in accordance with the accredited arrangement do not require an approval under Part 9 for the purposes of subsection 23(1), (2) or (3) or subsection 24A(1), (2), (3), (4), (5) or (6). | No assessment of the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery has been carried out under Part 10 of the EPBC Act as the fishery is exploratory in nature and no plan of management has been made under the *Fisheries Management Act 1991 (Cth)*. |

## Part 12 – Identifying and monitoring biodiversity and making bioregional plans

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Section 176 Bioregional Plans** | **Comment** |
| (5) Minister must have regard to relevant bioregional plans | **There is not Marine Bioregional Plan relevant to these fisheries** |

## Part 13 – Species and communities

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Accreditable plan, regime or policy (Division 1, Division 2, Division 3, Division 4)** | **Comment** |
| s. 208A (1) (a-e) , s.222A (1) (a-e), s.245 (1) (a-e), s.265 (1) (a-e)  Does the fishery have an accreditable plan of management, regime or policy? | **Meets- There is an accreditable regime in place for the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery**  There is an accreditable regime in place for the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery which has demonstrated to be effective in mitigating the risk to protected species.  The CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery will be managed under the *Fisheries Management Act 1991*, *Fisheries Management Regulation 2019*, and the Management regime defined in CCAMLR Conservation Measures. |
| **Division 1 Listed threatened species, Section 208A Minister may accredit plans or regimes** | **Comment** |
| (f) Will the plan, regime or policy require fishers to take all reasonable steps to ensure that members of listed threatened species (other than conservation dependent species) are not killed or injured as a result of the fishing? | **Meets- There is an accreditable regime in place for the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery**  There is an accreditable regime in place for the CCAMLR Exploratory Fisheries which has demonstrated to be effective in mitigating the risk to protected species.  Given the legislation in force, the Department considers that all reasonable steps are being taken to prevent the killing or injuring of threatened species and the capture of any threatened species would be incidental to and not the purpose of the operation of CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery.  Evidence suggests that the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery have minimal interactions with listed threatened species. Therefore, the Department considers the operation of the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery is not likely to adversely affect the survival or recovery in nature of any listed threatened species.  Given the measures in place in the fishery (see above), the Department considers the current operation of the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery is not likely to adversely affect the survival or recovery in nature of any listed threatened species. |
| (g) And, is the fishery likely to adversely affect the survival or recovery in nature of the species? |
| **Division 2 Migratory species, Section 222A Minister may accredit plans or regimes** | **Comment** |
| (f) Will the plan, regime or policy require fishers to take all reasonable steps to ensure that members of listed migratory species are not killed or injured as a result of the fishing? | **Meets- There is an accreditable regime in place for the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery**  Given the legislation in force, the Department considers that all reasonable steps are being taken to prevent the killing or injuring of listed migratory species and the capture of any listed migratory species would be incidental to and not the purpose of the operation of the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery.  The report provided by AFMA indicates that there has been no reported interactions with an EPBC-listed migratory species in the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery. Therefore, the Department considers the current operation of the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery is not likely to adversely affect the conservation status of a listed migratory species or a population of that species. |
| (g) And, is the fishery likely to adversely affect the conservation status of a listed migratory species or a population of that species? |
| **Division 3 Whales and other cetaceans, Section 245 Minister may accredit plans or regimes** | **Comment** |
| (f) Will the plan, regime or policy require fishers to take all reasonable steps to ensure that cetaceans are not killed or injured as a result of the fishing? | **Meets- There is an accreditable regime in place for the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery**  Given the legislation in force, the Department considers that all reasonable steps are being taken to prevent the killing or injuring of cetaceans and the capture of any cetaceans would be incidental to and not the purpose of the operation of the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery.  The report provided by AFMA indicates that there has been no interactions with a cetacean in the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery. Therefore, the Department considers the current operation of the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery is not likely to adversely affect the conservation status of a species of cetacean or a population of that species. |
| (g) And, is the fishery likely to adversely affect the conservation status of a species of cetacean or a population of that species? |
| **Division 4 Listed marine species, Section 265 Minister may accredit plans or regimes** | **Comment** |
| (f) Will the plan, regime or policy require fishers to take all reasonable steps to ensure that members of listed marine species are not killed or injured as a result of the fishing? | **Meets- There is an accreditable regime in place for the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery**  Given the legislation in force, the Department considers that all reasonable steps are being taken to prevent the killing or injuring of listed marine species and the capture of any listed marine species would be incidental to and not the purpose of the operation of the CCAMLR Exploratory Fisheries.  Evidence suggests that the CCAMLR Exploratory Fisheries has minimal interactions with listed marine species. Therefore, the Department considers the current operation of the CCAMLR Exploratory Fisheries is not likely to adversely affect the conservation status of a listed marine species or a population of that species |
| (g) And, is the fishery likely to adversely affect the conservation status of a listed marine species or a population of that species? |
| **Section 303AA Conditions relating to accreditation of plans, regimes and policies** | **Comment** |
| (1) This section applies to an accreditation of a plan, regime or policy under section 208A, 222A, 245 or 265. | **Meets**  The Department considers that the accreditation of the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery management regime remains valid under sections 208A, 222A, 245 and 265.  The Department considers that no conditions are required for the accreditation of the management regime for the CCAMLR New and Exploratory Fisheries under Part 13. |
| (2) The Minister may accredit a plan, regime or policy under that section even though he or she considers that the plan, regime or policy should be accredited only:  (a) during a particular period; or  (b) while certain circumstances exist; or  (c) while a certain condition is complied with.  In such a case, the instrument of accreditation is to specify the period, circumstances or condition. |

## Part 13A – International movement of wildlife specimens

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Section 303BA Objects of Part 13A** | |
| (1) The objects of this Part are as follows:  (a) to ensure that Australia complies with its obligations under CITES and the Biodiversity Convention;  (b) to protect wildlife that may be adversely affected by trade;  (c) to promote the conservation of biodiversity in Australia and other countries;  (d) to ensure that any commercial utilisation of Australian native wildlife for the purposes of export is managed in an ecologically sustainable way;  (e) to promote the humane treatment of wildlife;  (f) to ensure ethical conduct during any research associated with the utilisation of wildlife; and  (h) to ensure the precautionary principle is taken into account in making decisions relating to the utilisation of wildlife. | **Meets**  The management arrangements for the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery have been assessed as consistent with the general guidance provided in the objects of Part 13A as:   * the fishery will not harvest any Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) listed species * there are management arrangements in place to ensure that the resource is being managed in an ecologically sustainable way (see Table 1) * the operation of the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery is unlikely to be unsustainable and threaten biodiversity within the next five years, and * the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Regulations 2000* do not specify fish as a class of animal in relation to the welfare of live specimens. |
| **Section 303 CG Minister may issue permits (CITES species)** | **Comment** |
| (3) The Minister must not issue a permit unless the Minister is satisfied that:  (a) the action or actions specified in the permit will not be detrimental to, or contribute to trade which is detrimental to:  (i) the survival of any taxon to which the specimen belongs; or | **Not applicable**  The fishery does not harvest species listed under CITES. |
| (ii) the recovery in nature of any taxon to which the specimen belongs; or |
| (iii) any relevant ecosystem (for example, detriment to habitat or biodiversity); and |
| **Section 303DC Minister may amend list (non-CITES species)** | **Comment** |
| (1) The Minister may, by legislative instrument, amend the list referred to in section 303DB [list of exempt native specimens] by:  (a) doing any of the following:  (i) including items in the list;  (ii) deleting items from the list;  (iii) imposing a condition or restriction to which the inclusion of a specimen in the list is subject;  (iv) varying or revoking a condition or restriction to which the inclusion of a specimen in the list is subject; or  (b) correcting an inaccuracy or updating the name of a species. | The Department recommends that specimens that are or are derived from fish harvested in the Ross Sea Fishery in CCAMLR Statistical Subareas 88.1 and 88.2, as defined in the management regime in force under the *Fisheries Management Act 1991* and *Fisheries Management Regulations 2019*, but not including:   * specimens that belong to eligible listed threatened species, as defined under section 303BC of the EPBC Act; or * specimens that belong to taxa listed under section 303CA of the EPBC Act (Australia’s CITES list).   be included in the list of exempt native specimens until 27 November 2025. |
| (1A) In deciding to amend the LENS, the Minister must rely primarily on outcomes an assessment under Part 10, Divisions 1 or 2 | No assessment of the CCAMLR Ross Sea Fishery has been carried out under Part 10 of the EPBC Act as the fishery is exploratory in nature and no plan of management has been made under the *Fisheries Management Act 1991 (Cth)*.  AFMA’s current guidance about exploratory fisheries is available here:  https://www.afma.gov.au/sites/default/files/final\_guide\_to\_ccamlr\_new\_and\_exploratory\_fisheries\_2020.pdf  https://www.afma.gov.au/sites/default/files/draft\_exploratory\_fisheries\_policy\_-\_fisheries\_management\_paper\_5\_-\_17\_dec\_2019\_1.pdf  While these do not disclose the existence of a plan of management for the exploratory fisheries, fishers wishing to participate in the fishery are permitted under the *Fisheries Management Act 1991 (Cth)* and required to demonstrate that operations are consistent with CCAMLR conservation measures. |
| (1C) The above does not limit matters that may be considered when deciding to amend LENS. | **Meets**  Although there is no strategic assessment under Part 10 of the EPBC Act, the Department considers its assessment has taken into account all matters relevant to making an informed decision to amend the list of exempt native specimens to include product taken in this fishery. |
| (3) Before amending the LENS, the Minister must consult:  (a) other Minister or Ministers as appropriate; and  (b) other Minister or Ministers of each State and self-governing Territory as appropriate; and  (c) other persons and organisations as appropriate. | **Meets**  The submission from the Australian Fisheries Management Authority was made available on the Department’s website from 24 July - 01 September 2020. No comments received. |
| **Section 303FN Approved wildlife trade operation** | **Comment** |
| (3) The Minister must not declare an operation as an approved wildlife trade operation unless the Minister is **satisfied** that:  (a) the operation is consistent with the objects of Part 13A of the Act; and  (b) the operation will not be detrimental to:  (i) the survival of a taxon to which the operation relates; or  (ii) the conservation status of a taxon to which the operation relates; and  (ba) the operation will not be likely to threaten any relevant ecosystem including (but not limited to) any habitat or biodiversity; and | **Not applicable**  Fishery is not recommended to be an approved wildlife trade operation. |
| (c) if the operation relates to the taking of live specimens that belong to a taxon specified in the regulations – the conditions that, under the regulations, are applicable to the welfare of the specimens are likely to be complied with; and |
| (d) such other conditions (if any) as are specified in the regulations have been, or are likely to be, satisfied. |
| (4) In deciding whether to declare an operation as an approved wildlife trade operation the Minister must have **regard** to:  (a) the significance of the impact of the operation on an ecosystem (for example, an impact on habitat or biodiversity); and |
| (b) the effectiveness of the management arrangements for the operation (including monitoring procedures). |
| (5) In deciding whether to declare an operation as an approved wildlife trade operation the Minister must have **regard** to:  (a) whether legislation relating to the protection, conservation or management of the specimens to which the operation relates is in force in the State or Territory concerned; and  (b) whether the legislation applies throughout the State or Territory concerned; and  (c) whether, in the opinion of the Minister, the legislation is effective. |
| (10) For the purposes of section 303FN, an operation is a wildlife trade operation if, an only if, the operation is an operation for the taking of specimens and:  (a) the operation is a commercial fishery. |
| (10A) In deciding whether to declare that a commercial fishery is an approved wildlife trade operation for the purposes of this section, the Minister must rely primarily on the outcomes of any assessment in relation to the fishery carried out for the purposes of Division 1 or 2 of Part 10.  (10B) Subsection (10A) does not limit the matters that may be taken into account in deciding whether to declare that a fishery is an approved wildlife trade operation for the purposes of this section. | **Not applicable**  Fishery is not recommended to be an approved wildlife trade operation. |
| **Section 303FR Public consultation** | **Comment** |
| (1) Before making a declaration under section 303FN, the Minister must cause to be published on the Internet a notice:  (a) setting out the proposal to make the declaration; and  (b) setting out sufficient information to enable persons and organisations to consider adequately the merits of the proposal; and  (c) inviting persons and organisations to give the Minister, within the period specified in the notice, written comments about the proposal.  (2) A period specified in the notice must not be shorter than 20 business days after the date on which the notice was published on the Internet.  (3) In making a decision about whether to make a declaration under section 303FN, the Minister must consider any comments about the proposal to make the declaration that were given in response to the invitation in the notice. | **Meets**  A public notice, which set out the proposal to declare the Ross Sea Fishery in CCAMLR Statistical Subarea 88.1 and 88.2 an approved wildlife trade operation and included the application from AFMA, was released for public comment on 24 July 2020 to 1 September 2020.  No public comments about the proposal were received. |
| **Section 303FT Additional provisions relating to declarations** | **Comments** |
| (1) This section applies to a declaration made under section 303FN, 303FO or 303FP. | A declaration for the Ross Sea Fishery in CCAMLR Statistical Subarea 88.1 and 88.2 will be made under section 303FN. |
| (4) The Minister may make a declaration about a plan or operation even though he or she considers that the plan or operation should be the subject of the declaration only:  (a) during a particular period; or  (b) while certain circumstances exist; or  (c) while a certain condition is complied with.  In such a case, the instrument of declaration is to specify the period, circumstances or condition. | The standard conditions applied to commercial fishery wildlife trade operations include:   * operation in accordance with the management regime; * notifying the Department of changes to the management regime; and * annual reporting in accordance with the requirements of the Australian Government Guidelines for the Ecologically Sustainable Management of Fisheries – 2nd Edition.   The wildlife trade operation instrument for the Ross Sea Fishery in CCAMLR Statistical Subarea 88.1 and 88.2 specifies the standard and any additional conditions applied. |
| (8) A condition may relate to reporting or monitoring. | One of the standard conditions relates to reporting. |
| (9) The Minister must, by instrument published in the *Gazette*, revoke a declaration if he or she is satisfied that a condition of the declaration has been contravened. |  |

## Part 16 – Precautionary principle and other considerations in making decisions

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Section 391 Minister must consider precautionary principle in making decisions** | **Comment** |
| (1) Minister must take account of the precautionary principle in making a decision, to the extent that the decision is consistent with other provisions under this Act.  (2) The precautionary principle is that lack of full scientific certainty should not be used as a reason for postponing a measure to prevent degradation of the environment where there are threats of serious or irreversible environmental damage. | **Meets**  Having regard to the annual monitoring of stocks against prescribed performance measures, and the precautionary management measures already in place to mitigate risks to protected species, precautionary measures are considered to be in place to prevent serious or irreversible environmental damage being caused by this fishery. |

# References

AFMA (Australian Fisheries Management Authority) and AAD (Australian Antarctic Division) [*Guide to CCAMLR New and Exploratory Fisheries*](https://www.afma.gov.au/sites/default/files/final_guide_to_ccamlr_new_and_exploratory_fisheries_2020.pdf) *2019,* Accessed 1 August 2020

CCAMLR (Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources), [Current schedule of Conservation Measures in Force 2019/ 2020](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/system/files/e-schedule2019-20_0.pdf), Accessed 1 August 2020

CCAMLR (Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources), [Fishery Report 2020: Dissostichus mawsoni in Subarea 88.1](http://fishdocs.ccamlr.org/FishRep_881_TOA.html), Accessed 1 August 2020

CCAMLR (Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources), [Fishery Report 2020: Dissostichus mawsoni in Subarea 88.2](http://fishdocs.ccamlr.org/FishRep_882_TOA.html) , Accessed 1 August 2020

CCAMLR (Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources), [Analyses of illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) fishing activities in Divisions 58.4.1 during the 2013/14 season and 58.4.3b during the 2014/15 season](https://www.ccamlr.org/en/wg-fsa-18/60), Accessed 2 August 2020

Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment, [Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics and Sciences (ABARES) Fishery Status Report; Chapter 27: CCAMLR exploratory toothfish fisheries](https://www.afma.gov.au/sites/default/files/final_guide_to_ccamlr_new_and_exploratory_fisheries_2020.pdfhttps:/www.agriculture.gov.au/abares/research-topics/fisheries/fishery-status/ccamlr-exploratory-toothfish-fisheries#272-biological-status), Accessed 1 August 2020

Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment, [Commonwealth Fisheries Harvest Strategy Policy](https://www.agriculture.gov.au/sites/default/files/sitecollectiondocuments/fisheries/domestic/hsp.pdf) 2018, Accessed 10 October 2020

Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment, [Guidelines for the ecologically sustainable management of fisheries- 2nd Edition](http://www.environment.gov.au/marine/publications/guidelines-ecologically-sustainable-management-fisheries) 2007, Accessed 1 August 2020

# Acronyms

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| AAD  ABARES | Australian Antarctic Division  Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics and Sciences |
| AFMA | Australian Fisheries Management Authority |
| CCAMLR  CCF | Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources  CCAMLR Consultative Forum |
| CITES | Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora |
| CM  DAWE | Conservation Measure  Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment |
| EPBC Act | *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* |
| IUU  LENS  MPA | Illegal, unreported and unregulated  List of Exempt Native Specimens  Marine Protected Area |
| SARAG | Sub-Antarctic Resource Assessment Group |
| SouthMAC | Sub-Antarctic Fisheries Management Advisory Committee |
| SSRU  TAC  TAP  TEP  VMS | Small Scale Research Unit  Total Allowable Catch  Threat Abatement Plan  Threatened, Endangered and Protected Species  Vessel Monitoring System |
| WG-FSA | Working Group on Fish Stock Assessment |

1. Antarctic marine living resources means the populations of fin fish, molluscs, crustaceans and all other species of living organisms, including birds, found south of the Antarctic Convergence. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)